## INFORMATION SHEET

## DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

Omaha District, South Dakota Regulatory Office

FILE NUMBER:			2	<u>00530107</u>	•					
REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER:				Andy Mitz	<u>el</u>		Date: <u>3/28/2005</u>			
PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: In the office $\underline{Y}$ (Y/N) Date: $\underline{3/28/2005}$ At the project site $\underline{N}$ (Y/N) Date: $\underline{\underline{\hspace{0.5cm}}}$										
PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION: State: County: Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coord Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in Name of waterway or watershed: SITE CONDITIONS:						South Dakota  Beadle  Lat 44-19-57.1534 Long - 98-12-58.5580  30 acres  Middle James				
Type of aquatic resource <sup>1</sup>	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25	ac 25-50 a	c > 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown	
Lake								icci		
River										
Stream										
Dry Wash										
Mudflat										
Sandflat										
Wetlands	X/4									
Slough	25/ 4									
Prairie pothole										
Wet meadow										
Playa lake				1						
*										
Vernal pool										
Natural pond										
Other water (identify type)	-									
<sup>1</sup> Check appropriate boxes that be jurisdictional aquatic resource ar		type of iso	lated, nor	-navigable	, intra-state	e water presen	t and best estima	ate for size of	non-	
M: 4 P: ID I E 4 1				¥0.¥7		T	TOTAL I			
Migratory Bird Rule Factors <sup>1</sup> :				If Kı	nown		If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment			
				Yes	No	Predicted to Occur		Not Expected to Not A		
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by						X/4				
Migratory Bird Treaties?  Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that						X/4				
cross state lines?	omer migr	awi y Diru	s mat			A/4				
Is or would be used as habitat for	endangere	d species?					X/4			
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?								X/4		

## TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

**DISTRICT OFFICE:** 

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):The 30 acre site has historically been used for crop production, and contains 4 isolated wetlands on the property. The 4 isolated PEMA (0.41, 0.68, 0.61, and 0.69 acres in size) wetlands on the site. Aerial photograph (2003), NWI data, , Beadle County SSURGO data/Hydric Soil list, were reviewed, and no surface hydrological connection was found, nor was the wetland adjacent to other water of the US. No known interstate commerce connection is known to exist, and there is no reasonable potential for navigation on this wetland. No jurisdictional waters of the US exist on the property.

<sup>1</sup>Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated,

Preliminary

Or

Approved  $\boxtimes$ .